
Green Investment as a Catalyst for Building a Resilient Green Economy

Lokesh Tomar

Research Scholar

Department of Management Studies

Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University

(A Central University), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Salil Seth

Assistant Professor

Department of Management Studies

Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University

(A Central University), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Escalating environmental degradation and socio-economic disparities have underscored the urgent need for sustainable development strategies. Among these, green investment has emerged as a powerful tool for fostering environmentally responsible growth by financing projects that reduce ecological impact, promote the adoption of renewable energy, and support sustainable economic activities. This study investigates the dynamic relationship between green investment, the green economy, and long-term sustainability. Adopting a qualitative, literature-based approach, the paper examines how green investment catalyzes economic transformation and environmental resilience. The analysis reveals that such investments encourage the integration of renewable technologies and sustainable business models, leading to enhanced resource efficiency, economic progress, and the mitigation of environmental harm. In addition, green investment stimulates innovation and job creation, further advancing the transition to a low-carbon economy. By embedding ecological considerations into financial decision-making, it supports aligning economic growth with environmental goals. The study offers critical insights for policymakers, investors, and businesses, emphasizing the role of green finance in achieving sustainable development objectives and informing future investment and policy frameworks.

Keywords: *Green Investment, Sustainable Development, Green Economy, Environmental Sustainability*

1.0 Introduction

The green economy is vital for sustainable development, addressing 21st-century environmental and socio-economic challenges. Green investment drives this transition by funding initiatives that reduce environmental harm, promote renewable energy, and enhance resource efficiency, aligning economic growth with ecological sustainability. Despite widespread acknowledgment of the green economy's potential, the mechanisms through which green investment contributes to its development remain underexplored. A coherent understanding of how green investment accelerates environmental innovation, reduces ecological footprints, and supports inclusive growth is crucial for shaping effective strategies. This paper addresses this gap by analyzing the dynamic interplay between green investment and the green economy, highlighting its transformative impact on achieving sustainability goals. The relevance of this study extends beyond academic inquiry, as it provides actionable insights for policymakers, investors, and industry stakeholders. By focusing on the interplay between green investment and sustainability, the research underscores the importance of resource allocation toward environmentally sound initiatives. This paper further explores the dual benefits of green investment, encompassing ecological restoration and socio-economic development, which collectively drive the global sustainability agenda. This paper aims to contribute to the discourse by synthesizing critical perspectives and identifying key strategies that enable green investment to act as a catalyst for the green economy. This research underscores the need for holistic approaches to tackle environmental challenges while fostering economic resilience by situating the discussion within the broader sustainability framework.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Strategic Insights into Green Investment

Environmental shifts and rising global temperatures have become critical concerns for individuals worldwide (Ahmad et al., 2021). Moreover, a study Dogan & Inglesi-Lotz (2020) conveys that human-induced activities lead to greater utilization of ecological assets, thereby accelerating environmental deterioration. The intensification of human interventions is largely responsible for the increase in global mean temperatures, which serves as the primary

driver of ecological decline (Canadell et al., 2007). Global economies adopt green investment strategies to combat environmental degradation, reduce pollution levels, and support the shift from heavily polluted to cleaner economies. Consequently, governments worldwide are collaborating and committing to mitigating environmental damage. In this context, global leaders have ratified many accords to regulate CO₂ emissions and consequent ecological deterioration, including the Kyoto Protocol 1997 and the paramount Paris Climate Agreement (PCA) in 2015. Li et al., (2022) say that these agreements are essential for the global transition towards a cleaner environment and sustainable development. These agreements encourage decision-makers and governing bodies to cultivate a sustainable environment for current and future generations. The PCA urged member nations to collaborate to maintain the global mean temperature increase below 2°C. Member nations need decisive efforts, specifically, advancing clean and renewable energy solutions may be crucial. A green investment strategy facilitates the activation of debt financing markets, making them more price-competitive for low-emission projects (Heine et al., 2019). The green investment approach facilitates sustainable development and effectively mitigates pollutant emissions (Li et al., 2019). Höhne et al. (2012) described green investment as a sort of funding that may safeguard the ecosystem and create sustainable development by promoting sustainable projects and activities. "Green investment" is an expansive word. It may be seen as an autonomous notion, a component of a wider investing theme, or intricately associated with alternative investment strategies. The notion received traction in 2010–2011 as worldwide worries about the green economy and sustainable development grew as part of attempts to combat the 2008 financial crisis. Multilateral development banks are essential for mobilizing capital to attain sustainability and facilitate investment. Emphasized that green investment, within a larger context, pertains to initiatives crucial for achieving environmental preservation and sustainability. Green investment efforts include climate-related investments and preventive actions against industrial and greenhouse gas pollution. Private investment may mitigate pollution worldwide and will transition the worldwide economy to low-emission standards. David and Venkatachalam (2018) advocated for the encouragement of public-private green investments to secure the sustainable development of pollution-free infrastructure. The green investment predominantly encompasses renewable energy and

energy efficiency; it also includes sectors such as water sanitation, biodiversity conservation, water recycling, water treatment, industrial carbon emission regulation, and environmental change mitigation and adaptation (Krushelnytska et al., 2017). The importance of green investment is emphasized, with a particular focus on the essential role of private-sector funding in this area (Azhgaliyeva et al., 2018). Extensive studies have investigated the potential indicators of climate pollution (e.g., Doğan et al. 2019; Ozturk and Acaravci 2010). Nevertheless, there is a dearth of empirical studies looking at how green investments affect the use of clean energy and pollution in the environment (Sachs et al., 2019; Noh 2010). Sachs et al. (2019) propose advancing green finance and investment initiatives as essential for achieving sustainable development objectives. They suggest that sustainable banking can be strengthened via mechanisms such as eco-friendly bonds and eco-investment funds. Noh (2010) advocates for advancing green investment via institutions that use green money. However, the study's limitation is its failure to include other significant factors directly influencing carbon emissions. Recent research by Dikau and Volz (2019) explored how financial institutions impact the development of green investment models through carbon pricing and climate risk financing. Managing credit risk is crucial for the progress of green investment projects, as high transaction costs related to credit risk hinder the advancement of these initiatives (Dhurba, 2018). The current financial system is seen as an effective and efficient determinant for activating the country's economic capacity, demonstrating a positive influence on the ecosystem. Climate change is escalating with time, and green finance positively impacts the environment, significantly contributing to the adaptation of the green economy (Hafeez et al., 2018). Gianfrate and Peri (2019) posited that green bonds are essential for mobilizing financial resources to meet environmental quality objectives. In contrast, Tang and Zhang (2020) indicated that green bonds benefit producers and foster green initiatives within the economy.

2.2 Green Economy in the Context of Sustainability

The core principle of a green economy is the sustainable advancement of the economy alongside the harmonious growth of ecological and economic systems. From an environmental protection standpoint, promoting a green economy can drive the shift from a traditional industrial society to a more sustainable model of energy production, distribution,

and consumption. This transition helps replace the extensive development approach marked by "high energy consumption, heavy pollution, and significant emissions." Economically, the "green transformation" may significantly advance the "economic structural adjustment and growth stabilization processes". The International Labour Organization (ILO) projects that advancing a green economy could produce approximately 60 million new jobs worldwide. This has driven a global commitment among nations to promote green economic practices. For instance, the European Union (EU) launched a significant environmental initiative, allocating €105 billion between 2009 and 2013 to foster green economic development. Similarly, the United States made substantial strides under the Obama administration with the Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. This act allocated approximately USD 50 billion, including USD 14 billion specifically targeted toward renewable energy projects to drive green energy adoption and improve energy efficiency. Examining the green economy as a novel economic paradigm presents distinctive results and ideas for addressing global social, financial, and environmental issues. The theoretical framework of green economy reformation is crucial for addressing development difficulties by defining ideas, establishing practices, and assessing the effects (Vargas-Hernández et al., 2022). Green economics is now formulating the intellectual foundations and policies (Adamowicz, 2022) necessary to adopt a comprehensive approach to long-term social, environmental, and economic sustainability. Sustainability frameworks grounded in circular economy, bioeconomy, and green economics facilitate coherent decision-making and policy formulation, the creation of tools and indicators, the model and execution of strategic initiatives, the operationalization of solutions to challenges, and the enhancement of action implementation and practical development across all organizational levels. Green economics is a comprehensive and impartial design for developing alternative economic paradigms. Green economics establishes and advances a novel relationship between humanity and the environment, aiming to incorporate this concept into economic theory. Contemporary innovative challenges can be categorized into ecological, economic, intellectual, political, and ethical dimensions. Green economics emphasizes integrating economic development with comprehensive environmental considerations, promoting inclusion, diversity, equity, and equality across communities and society. The advancement of the green economy is influenced by some economic variables,

including industrial structure, carbon emissions, energy infrastructure, regional distribution, foreign direct investment, and green tax laws (Feng et al., 2017; Hille et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Devi & Gupta, 2019; Pan et al., 2019; Hamdouch & Depret, 2012). Foreign direct investments enhance sustainable economic growth.

The green economy is characterized by its reliance on key areas such as clean energy, water conservation, land stewardship, eco-friendly structures, environmentally friendly transportation, and garbage disposal (Brodunov & Ushakov, 2015; Ribokene, 2018; Al-Sheryani & Nobanee, 2020; Brodunov & Ushakov, 2015). The shift towards a green economy has promising prospects, including beneficial impacts on public health and societal welfare, economic advancement, social fairness, and environmental sustainability (Maria et al., 2015). This realization directly results from the rise of "green economic development" as a strategy for long-term prosperity. The mutual objectives of a green economy and growth include: fostering positive climate changes, promoting renewable clean energy sources, optimizing resource utilization, transitioning from environmental protection technologies to resource-efficient technologies, and advancing environmentally friendly industrial sectors of green manufacturing and green services sectors through innovation that is environmentally friendly (Jänicke, 2012). In a study, John et al. (2019) suggest that integrating innovation that is ecologically friendly within science and technology fosters the advancement of a green economy. The acceleration of structural frameworks and innovation is facilitated by upgrading industrial structures from low to elevated levels enhancing the distribution of resources, fostering the advancement of the green economy, and promoting eco-tech advancement (Yang and Jiang, 2021; Pai, 2016). The underlying framework of the climate-resilient economy views financial advancement as distinct from policies regarding the environment (Barbier, 2013). Niyazbekova et al. (2021) say that the framework for the development of the climate-resilient economy illustrates the interconnections between policy and the three components of ecological development: societal, financial, and green concerns. Promoting green innovation in developing countries should focus on protecting natural resources, urban management, and sustainable economic growth (Li et al., 2021). Limited observational evidence suggests that financial, societal, and green transformations at territorial and national levels arise from progress in environmental sustainability and green

economy projects. Sustainable economic growth is essential for adaptation measures to climate change (Schipper et al., 2020). The implementation of renewable energy is paramount in green economy policy, advocating for extensive solar power facilities and incentivizing households to adopt solar energy production systems to mitigate gas emissions, influence consumer behavior, and enhance industrial structure by phasing out obsolete energy-intensive industries (Onat & Bayar, 2010). The distinctions between the northern and southern regions, territorial and national concerns, and the states' roles in advancement, are essential components of the disciplines and plans surrounding the green economy (Gupta & Wong, 2014). Agencies are crucial to the advancement of the green economy.

2.3 Green Investment Catalyzing Green Economy

Increasing trends in consumption and production, driven by heightened investment, accelerate economic expansion, thus leading to a rise in CO₂ emissions (Ozturk & Ullah, 2022). Authorities globally are utilizing green investment to separate the pollution of the environment from economic advancement, aiming to mitigate the impacts of pollution and finance the transition from high-emission to low-emission economies. The growing demand for low-emission projects requires authorities to adopt adequate measures, such as investing in green bonds, a novel investment tool, to address ecological change challenges (Lei et al., 2022). Green investment represents a critical strategy for disconnecting economic growth from climatic degradation (Wang & Zhi, 2016; Chen & Ma, 2021). Consequently, the function of banks and other monetary institutions might expand significantly, since they can supply the necessary finances to attain the objective of green development. The worldwide financial system is transitioning into a green economy, drawing environmentally conscious investors and redirecting money flows to green-aligned initiatives (Cortellini & Panetta, 2021). Green economy initiatives should use metrics to track the advancement of the renewable energy industry and reliance on foreign direct investments (FDI). Furthermore, the resurgence of the worldwide economy post-pandemic necessitates funds for green economy initiatives and ecologically friendly programs. These expenditures should focus on green economic development, social justice, inclusiveness and equality, ecological viability, and the promotion of mental and social impacts. Public funds and investments are essential for the execution of green economy programs and projects; yet, they do not ensure favorable

outcomes, necessitating comprehensive and strategic planning from diverse sources for these activities (Vargas-Hernández et al., 2022). The business and financial investment sectors and governments must direct investments towards environmental sustainability. Public sector financing, including coal power plants and extensive hydroelectric projects, is essential for green economy efforts. Civic and political entities and economic players have emerged as leaders in transitioning to a green economy via innovative forms of green investment (Vargas-Hernández et al., 2022). The shift to a green economy demands the requisite expertise, abilities, capital, and prospects to leverage public and private finance (Romania, E. Y., 2021). The state and corporate enterprises may invest in the adoption and development of clean technology and clean production (Cuong, Sang, & Anh, 2007; Nguyen, 2014). Advancing the green economy within the framework of sustainability involves investing in alternative energy sources and optimizing renewable energy production, abolishing fossil fuel support funds, implementing sustainable land management practices, regulating the pricing of earth's resources such as water and carbon, imposing taxes on CO², grasping and sequestering carbon from unavoidable releases, and establishing wages and indemnities (Barbier, 2012). Green investments may reduce CO² emissions. Green finance plays a pivotal role in the transition to green economies. Scaling up the green investments strengthens the ability and expertise for this change by tackling environmental catastrophe, global climate shift, and energy reliability issues (Ning et al., 2022). The shift to a green economy necessitates the establishment of investment structures, including green bonds. Green energy efficiency investments may be funded via green bonds to formulate and execute strategies for green economic development (Ning et al., 2023; Russo et al., 2021). The green bond market is a financial mechanism for mitigating rising worldwide temperatures and fostering a clean economy transition in national economies (AI Mheiri & Nobanee, 2020). The robust advancement of tech-driven financing utilizes corporate capital to enhance the development of the green economy. A correlation exists between fintech inclusion and green economy advancement (Baron & Kenny, 1986). Fintech inclusion enhances the financial landscape by alleviating the financial barriers green firms face, hence facilitating green economic growth (Chakravarty & Pal, 2013). Equitable financial development eases household liquidity limitations and boosts demand trends (Vargas-Hernández et al., 2022). The relationship

between fintech-enabled financial inclusion and green economic development is multifaceted. While some studies suggest a positive correlation between inclusive finance and sustainable growth, others indicate a nonlinear pattern (Zhang, 2017). Using a panel threshold approach based on Hansen's (1999) model, researchers have identified variability in outcomes depending on the level of financial inclusion. In certain contexts, fintech-driven financial inclusion may not necessarily enhance the efficiency of financial resource allocation in environmentally focused enterprises, potentially hindering the pace of green economic advancement (Vargas-Hernández et al., 2022).

3.0 Research Methodology

The methodology employed in this paper adopts a qualitative review approach, aimed at critically examining the literature surrounding the role of green investment in advancing sustainability within the broader context of the green economy. This review synthesizes insights from diverse academic sources, policy papers, and industry reports, with a deliberate emphasis on works published over the past decade. The selection of literature is guided by strict criteria, prioritizing high-impact, peer-reviewed articles that provide comprehensive and relevant perspectives on the evolving field of green investments. The main objective of this review is to assess how green investment strategies contribute to realizing sustainable development goals and facilitate the transition towards a green economy. By analyzing the interconnections between green finance, environmental policies, and business practices, the paper aims to uncover emerging trends and propose potential avenues for future inquiry. In doing so, it seeks to provide a balanced and insightful overview of the current state of knowledge on green investments, integrating both theoretical and practical dimensions of the subject. The research draws on a wide spectrum of qualitative sources, including case studies, conceptual papers, and policy frameworks, which collectively offer a rich understanding of how green investments are shaping corporate strategies, government policies, and global sustainability initiatives. The review's synthesis emphasizes the importance of green investment not only as a tool for environmental conservation but also as a driver for economic growth, technological innovation, and social equity. Through the application of a qualitative research approach, this study adds to the expanding scholarship on sustainable finance by providing meaningful insights for scholars, industry professionals, and

policymakers. It aims to improve understanding of how green investments support the advancement of a sustainable and environmentally conscious economy. Additionally, the paper underscores the importance of green investment strategies in embedding environmental priorities into economic policymaking, thereby establishing a foundation for future exploration and strategic policy initiatives within the domain of sustainable finance.

4.0 Findings

- Green investment accelerates the shift to a green economy by channeling funds into renewable energy initiatives and sustainable infrastructure projects.
- By funding the research and implementation of green technologies, green investment fosters innovation, enabling the widespread adoption of environmentally friendly solutions.
- Green investment is pivotal in reducing carbon emissions and encouraging sustainable business practices, thereby contributing to long-term environmental goals.
- Green investment attracts both public and private sector participation, creating robust markets for green products and services, which in turn drive economic growth and environmental sustainability.

5.0 Conclusion

Green investment plays a pivotal role in advancing the transition to a sustainable green economy by fostering environmental protection and economic growth. This paper highlights how green investment drives innovation, enhances resource efficiency, and promotes the adoption of sustainable technologies, ultimately contributing to long-term sustainability. The findings underscore the importance of integrating green investment into economic policies to create a resilient, low-carbon economy. By aligning financial strategies with environmental goals, green investment offers a pathway for businesses, governments, and investors to support sustainable development. The paper calls for further exploration of specific policies and sectoral strategies to maximize the impact of green investment and strengthen the transition to a greener future.

References

1. Adamowicz, M. (2022). A green concept of economic growth and development. *Economic and Regional Studies/Studia Ekonomicznei Regionalne*, 15(2), 158-180.
2. Ahmad, W., Ullah, S., Ozturk, I., & Majeed, M. T. (2021). Does inflation instability affect environmental pollution? Fresh evidence from Asian economies. *Energy & Environment*, 32(7), 1275-1291.
3. Al Mheiri, W., & Nobanee, H. (2020). Green Bonds: A Mini-Review. *Available at SSRN 3538790*.
4. Al-Sheryani, K., & Nobanee, H. (2020). Green finance: A mini-review. *Available at SSRN 3538696*.
5. Barbier, E. B. (2013). The green economy post Rio+20. *Science* 33887–8.
6. Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(6), 1173.
7. Brodunov, A. N., & Ushakov, V. Y. (2015). Justification of financial decisions in conditions of uncertainty. *Bulletin of the Witte Moscow University. Series, 1*, 30-36.
8. Brodunov, A. N., & Ushakov, V. Y. (2015). Justification of financial decisions in conditions of uncertainty. *Bulletin of the Witte Moscow University. Series, 1*, 30-36.
9. Bunevich, K. G., & Petrov, D. M. (2013). Development of dual–use technologies based on the cluster approach. *Bulletin of the Witte Moscow University. Series, 1*, 75-79.
10. Canadell, J. G., Le Quéré, C., Raupach, M. R., Field, C. B., Buitenhuis, E. T., Ciais, P., ... & Marland, G. (2007). Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO₂ growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 104(47), 18866-18870.
11. Chakravarty, S. R., & Pal, R. (2013). Financial inclusion in India: An axiomatic approach. *Journal of Policy modeling*, 35(5), 813-837.
12. Chen, Y., & Ma, Y. (2021). Does green investment improve energy firm performance?. *Energy Policy*, 153, 112252.

13. Cortellini, G., & Panetta, I. C. (2021). Green bond: A systematic literature review for future research agendas. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(12), 589.
14. Cuong, T. T., Sang, L. X., & Anh, N. K. (2007). Vietnam's small-and medium-sized enterprises development: characteristics, constraints and policy recommendations. *SME in Asia and Globalization, ERIA Research Project Report*, 5, 323-364.
15. David, D., & Venkatachalam, A. (2018). *A comparative study on the role of public-private partnerships and green investment banks in boosting low-carbon investments* (No. 870). ADBI Working Paper.
16. Devi, S., & Gupta, N. (2019). Effects of inclusion of delay in the imposition of environmental tax on the emission of greenhouse gases. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 125, 41-53.
17. Dhruva, P. (2018). *Managing credit risk and improving access to finance in green energy projects* (No. 855). ADBI Working Paper.
18. Dogan, E., & Inglesi-Lotz, R. (2020). The impact of economic structure to the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis: evidence from European countries. *Environmental science and pollution research*, 27, 12717-12724.
19. Dogan, E., & Turkekul, B. (2016). CO₂ emissions, real output, energy consumption, trade, urbanization and financial development: testing the EKC hypothesis for the USA. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23, 1203-1213.
20. Feng, C., Wang, M., Liu, G. C., & Huang, J. B. (2017). Green development performance and its influencing factors: A global perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 144, 323-333.
21. Gianfrate, G., & Peri, M. (2019). The green advantage: Exploring the convenience of issuing green bonds. *Journal of cleaner production*, 219, 127-135.
22. Gupta, J., & Wong, K. Y. (2014). China's evolving development dilemma in the context of the north-south climate governance debate. *Perspectives on Global Development and Technology*, 13(5-6), 699-727.

23. Hafeez, M., Chunhui, Y., Strohmaier, D., Ahmed, M., & Jie, L. (2018). Does finance affect environmental degradation: evidence from One Belt and One Road Initiative region?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25, 9579-9592.
24. Hamdouch, A., & Depret, M. H. (2012). Sustainable development policies and the geographical landscape of the green economy: actors, scales and strategies. *Finisterra*, 47(94).
25. Hansen, B. E. (1999). Threshold effects in non-dynamic panels: Estimation, testing, and inference. *Journal of econometrics*, 93(2), 345-368.
26. Heine, D., Semmler, W., Mazzucato, M., Braga, J. P., Flaherty, M., Gevorkyan, A., ... & Radpour, S. (2019). Financing low-carbon transitions through carbon pricing and green bonds. *Vierteljahrshefte Zur Wirtschaftsforschung*, (2), 29-49.
27. Hille, E., Shahbaz, M., & Moosa, I. (2019). The impact of FDI on regional air pollution in the Republic of Korea: a way ahead to achieve the green growth strategy?. *Energy Economics*, 81, 308-326.
28. Höhne, N., Khosla, S., Fekete, H., & Gilbert, A. (2012). *Mapping of Green Finance Delivered by IDFC Members in 2011*, Ecofys.
29. Jänicke, M. (2012). "Green growth": From a growing eco-industry to economic sustainability. *Energy policy*, 48, 13-21.
30. John, H., Neubert, M., Marrs, C., Alberico, S., Bovo, G., Ciadamidaro, S., ... & Grasso, S. (2019). Green infrastructure handbook. Conceptual & Theoretical Background, Terms and Definitions. *First output of the Interreg Central Europe Project MaGICLandscapes—Managing Green Infrastructure in Central European Landscapes*.
31. Krushelnyska, O. (2019). Introduction to green finance. *World Bank, Global Environment Facility (GEF), Washington, DC* <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/405891487108066678/Introduction-to-green-finance>. Accessed, 11.
32. Lei, W., Ozturk, I., Muhammad, H., & Ullah, S. (2022). On the asymmetric effects of financial deepening on renewable and non-renewable energy consumption: insights from China. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, 35(1), 3961-3978.

33. Li, M., Zhang, Y., Fan, Z., & Chen, H. (2021). Evaluation and research on the level of inclusive green growth in Asia-Pacific region. *Sustainability*, 13(13), 7482.
34. Li, X., & Ullah, S. (2022). Caring for the environment: how CO2 emissions respond to human capital in BRICS economies?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(12), 18036-18046.
35. Li, X., Du, J., & Long, H. (2019). Green development behavior and performance of industrial enterprises based on grounded theory study: evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 11(15), 4133.
36. Liu, N., Liu, C., Xia, Y., Ren, Y., & Liang, J. (2020). Examining the coordination between green finance and green economy aiming for sustainable development: A case study of China. *Sustainability*, 12(9), 3717.
37. Maria, E., Marina, P., & Pavel, G. (2015). Global trends of «green» economy development as a factor for improvement of economical and social prosperity. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 166, 194-198.
38. Martawardaya, B., Rakatama, A., Junifta, D. Y., & Maharani, D. A. (2022). Green economy post COVID-19: insights from Indonesia. *Development in Practice*, 32(1), 98-106.
39. Mazzucato, M., & Semieniuk, G. (2018). Financing renewable energy: Who is financing what and why it matters. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 127, 8-22.
40. Nguyen, H. (2014). *Doanh nghiệp nhỏ và vừa Việt Nam: Tăng sức mạnh “bó đũa”*.
41. Ning, Q. Q., Lin Guo, S., & Chang, X. C. (2022). Nexus between green financing, economic risk, political risk and environment: evidence from China. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, 35(1), 4195-4219.
42. Ning, Y., Cherian, J., Sial, M. S., Álvarez-Otero, S., Comite, U., & Zia-Ud-Din, M. (2023). Green bond as a new determinant of sustainable green financing, energy efficiency investment, and economic growth: a global perspective. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(22), 61324-61339.
43. Niyazbekova, S., Jazykbayeva, B., Mottaeva, A., Belousova, E., Suleimenova, B., & Zueva, A. (2021). The Growth of “Green” finance at the global level in the context of

- sustainable economic development. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 244, p. 10058). EDP Sciences.
44. Noh, H. J. (2010). Financial strategy to accelerate innovation for green growth. *Korea capital market institute*, 2-3.
 45. Onat, N., & Bayar, H. (2010). The sustainability indicators of power production systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(9), 3108-3115.
 46. Ozturk, I., & Acaravci, A. (2010). CO2 emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Turkey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(9), 3220-3225.
 47. Ozturk, I., & Ullah, S. (2022). Does digital financial inclusion matter for economic growth and environmental sustainability in OBRI economies? An empirical analysis. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 185, 106489.
 48. Pai, M. K. (2016). The technical progress and resilience in productivity growth of korea's growth-leading industries. *Asian Economic Papers*, 15(2), 167-191.
 49. Pan, W., Pan, W., Hu, C., Tu, H., Zhao, C., Yu, D., ... & Zheng, G. (2019). Assessing the green economy in China: An improved framework. *Journal of cleaner production*, 209, 680-691.
 50. Ribokene, E. V. (2018). Assessment of the current state of small and medium-sized enterprises in the software development industry. *Bulletin of Moscow University. S. Yu. Witte. Series, I*, 71-80.
 51. Romania, E. Y. (2021). *EY Attractiveness Survey Romania*.
 52. Russo, A., Mariani, M., & Caragnano, A. (2021). Exploring the determinants of green bond issuance: Going beyond the long-lasting debate on performance consequences. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 38-59.
 53. Sachs, J. D., Schmidt-Traub, G., Mazzucato, M., Messner, D., Nakicenovic, N., & Rockström, J. (2019). Six transformations to achieve the sustainable development goals. *Nature sustainability*, 2(9), 805-814.
 54. Schipper, E. L. F., Tanner, T., Dube, O. P., Adams, K. M., & Huq, S. (2020). The debate: Is global development adapting to climate change?. *World Development Perspectives*, 18, 100205.

55. Tang, D. Y., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Do shareholders benefit from green bonds?. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 61, 101427.
56. Vargas-Hernández, J. G., Rakowska, J., & Vargas-González, O. C. (2022). Green economic development as the framework for green finance and green investment. *Economic and Regional Studies/Studia Ekonomiczne i Regionalne*, 15(3), 304-322.
57. Vargas-Hernández, J. G., Rakowska, J., & Vargas-González, O. C. (2022). Green economic development as the framework for green finance and green investment. *Economic and Regional Studies/Studia Ekonomiczne i Regionalne*, 15(3), 304-322.
58. Volz, U. (2019). Why two degrees matters to central banks. *Central Banking*.
59. Wang, Y., & Zhi, Q. (2016). The role of green finance in environmental protection: Two aspects of market mechanism and policies. *Energy Procedia*, 104, 311-316.
60. Yang, H., ,Jiang, L. (2021). Digital Economy, Spatial Effect and Total Factor Productivity. *Stat. Res.* 38(04), 3–15. doi:10.19343/j.cnki.11-1302/c.2021.04.001.
61. Zhang, F. (2017). Theoretical and empirical research on the effect of financial development on green total factor productivity. *China Soft Science*, 9, 154-167.

UNIVERSITY
NAGALAND